

## Seminar 1

**(S1.1)** Let  $(X, T)$  be a TDS and  $x \in X$ . Then

- (i)  $x$  is a forward transitive point if and only if  $x \in \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T^{-n}(U)$  for every nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $X$ .
- (ii) Assume that  $(X, T)$  is invertible. Then  $x$  is a transitive point if and only if  $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n(U)$  for every nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $X$ .

*Proof.* See Lemma 1.4.0.6. □

**(S1.2)** Let  $(X, T)$  be a TDS with  $X$  metrizable and  $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a countable basis of  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $\{x \in X \mid \overline{\text{orb}_+(x)} = X\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{k \geq 0} T^{-k}(U_n)$ .
- (ii) If  $(X, T)$  is invertible, then  $\{x \in X \mid \overline{\text{orb}(x)} = X\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T^k(U_n)$ .

*Proof.* See Lemma 1.4.0.7. □

**(S1.3)** Let  $(X, T)$  be an invertible TDS. The following are equivalent:

- (i) If  $U$  is a nonempty open subset of  $X$  such that  $T(U) = U$ , then  $U$  is dense.
- (ii) If  $E \neq X$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$  such that  $T(E) = E$ , then  $E$  is nowhere dense.

*Proof.* Take  $U := X \setminus E$ . Then  $U$  is nonempty iff  $E$  is proper,  $U$  is open iff  $E$  is closed,  $U$  is dense in  $X$  iff  $E$  is nowhere dense, by B.1.0.13.(iv). Furthermore, since  $T$  is bijective,  $T(U) = T(X \setminus E) = X \setminus T(E)$ , hence,  $T(U) = U$  iff  $T(E) = E$ . □

(S1.4) Define an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$x \sim y \text{ if and only if } x - y \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

let  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of equivalence classes  $[x]$ , and  $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the natural projection. Endow  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  with the quotient topology and for every  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  define

$$T_\alpha : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad T_\alpha([x]) = [x + \alpha].$$

Prove that  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, T_\alpha)$  is a TDS isomorphic with  $(\mathbb{S}^1, R_a)$ , where  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  and  $a = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\sim$  is indeed an equivalence relation. For every  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , let us define

$$\pi_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad \pi_\alpha(x) = [x + \alpha].$$

Then  $\pi_\alpha$  is a quotient map, and for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\pi_\alpha(x) = \pi_\alpha(y)$  if and only if  $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ . Thus, we can apply B.8.0.21 to conclude that  $T_\alpha$  is the unique homeomorphism making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \pi_\alpha \downarrow & & \swarrow T_\alpha \\ & & \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

Let us consider the map

$$\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad \varepsilon(t) = e^{2\pi it}.$$

Then  $\varepsilon$  is a quotient map, by B.11.0.13. Furthermore, for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(y)$  if and only if  $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ . Thus, we can apply again B.8.0.21 to conclude that there exists a unique homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \varepsilon \downarrow & & \swarrow \varphi \\ & & \mathbb{S}^1. \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\varphi([x]) = \varepsilon(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ . Furthermore, since  $\mathbb{S}^1$  is compact Hausdorff, it follows that  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is also compact Hausdorff. Hence,  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, T_\alpha)$  is an invertible TDS.

Finally, for  $a = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \circ T_\alpha)([x]) &= \varphi([x + \alpha]) = e^{2\pi i(x+\alpha)} = e^{2\pi i\alpha} \cdot e^{2\pi ix} = a \cdot \varphi([x]) \\ &= (R_a \circ \varphi)([x]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\varphi : (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, T_\alpha) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^1, R_a)$  is an isomorphism of TDSs. □

**(S1.5)** Let  $(G, L_a)$  ( $a \in G$ ) be the left translation on a compact group (see Example 1.1.3 in the lecture). Prove that if  $(G, L_a)$  is (forward) transitive, then actually all points are (forward) transitive.

*Proof.* For every  $g \in G$ , let  $R_g : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $R_g(h) = h \cdot g$  be the right translation by  $g$ . Then  $R_g$  is a homeomorphism, and  $\text{orb}_+(g) = R_g(\text{orb}_+(1))$ ,  $\text{orb}(g) = R_g(\text{orb}(1))$ . Apply B.4.1.3.(iv) to get that  $g$  is a (forward) transitive point if and only if 1 is a (forward) transitive point.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of blocks over  $W$ , which we will think of as being the **forbidden blocks**. For any such  $\mathcal{F}$ , define  $X_{\mathcal{F}}$  to be the set of sequences which do not contain any block in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition .** A **shift space** (or simply **shift**) is a subset  $X$  of a full shift  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $X = X_{\mathcal{F}}$  for some collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of forbidden blocks over  $W$ .

Note that the empty space is a shift space, since putting  $\mathcal{F} = W^{\mathbb{Z}}$  rules out every point. Furthermore, the full shift  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a shift space; we can simply take  $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ , reflecting the fact that there are no constraints, so that  $W^{\mathbb{Z}} = X_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{F}$  may be finite or infinite. In any case it is at most countable since its elements can be arranged in a list (just write down its blocks of length 1 first, then those of length 2, and so on).

**Definition .** Let  $X$  be a subset of the full shift  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}_n(X)$  denote the set of all  $n$ -blocks that occur in points of  $X$ . The **language of  $X$**  is the collection

$$\mathcal{B}(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{B}_n(X). \quad (\text{C.4})$$

For a block  $u \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , we say also that  $u$  **occurs in  $X$**  or  $x$  **appears in  $X$**  or  $x$  **is allowed in  $X$** .

**(S1.6)** Let  $X \subseteq W^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a nonempty subset of  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

- (i)  $X \subseteq X_{\mathcal{B}(X)^c}$ .
- (ii) If  $X$  is a shift space, then  $X = X_{\mathcal{B}(X)^c}$ . Thus, the language of a shift space determines the shift space.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ . If  $u$  is a block in  $\mathcal{B}(X)^c$ , then  $u$  does not occur in  $X$ ; in particular,  $u$  does not occur in  $\mathbf{x}$ .

- (ii) We have that  $X = X_{\mathcal{F}}$  for some collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of forbidden blocks. Let  $\mathbf{x} \in X_{\mathcal{B}(X)^c}$ . If  $u$  is a block in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $u$  does not occur in  $X$ , hence  $u \in \mathcal{B}(X)^c$ , so  $u$  does not occur in  $\mathbf{x}$ .

$\square$

**(S1.7)** Let  $X \subseteq W^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a nonempty subset of  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The following are equivalent

- (i)  $X$  is a shift space.
- (ii) For every  $\mathbf{x} \in W^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , if  $\mathbf{x}_{[i,j]} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  for all  $i \geq j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ .
- (iii)  $X$  is a closed strongly  $T$ -invariant subset of  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

*Proof.* (i) $(\Leftrightarrow)$ (ii) It is easy to see that (ii) is equivalent with  $X_{\mathcal{B}(X)^c} \subseteq X$ . Apply now S1.6.(ii).

(ii) $(\Rightarrow)$ (iii) Let  $\mathbf{x} \in X$  and  $\mathbf{y} := T^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ . For all  $i \geq j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(T\mathbf{x})_{[i,j]} = \mathbf{x}_{[i+1,j+1]} \in \mathcal{B}(X), \quad \mathbf{y}_{[i,j]} = \mathbf{x}_{[i-1,j-1]} \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

Apply (ii) to conclude that  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X$ . Thus,  $T(X) = X$ , so  $X$  is strongly  $T$ -invariant. An inspection of the proof the sequential compactness of the full shift  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$  (see Theorem 1.2.0.5), shows that in fact it holds for any subset  $X$  satisfying (ii).

We get that  $X$  is  $T$ -invariant and compact, hence closed, since  $W^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is Hausdorff.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) We prove the contrapositive of (ii). Assume that  $\mathbf{x} \in W^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus X$ . Since  $W^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus X$  is open, there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $B_{2^{-k+1}}(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq W^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus X$ . Let  $u := \mathbf{x}_{[-k,k]}$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , then  $u = \mathbf{y}_{[i,i+2k]}$  for some  $\mathbf{y} \in X$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $l := i + k$ . Since  $X$  is strongly  $T$ -invariant, we have that  $T^l \mathbf{y} \in X$ . On the other hand,  $(T^l \mathbf{y})_{[-k,k]} = \mathbf{y}_{[i,i+2k]} = \mathbf{x}_{[-k,k]}$ , so  $T^l \mathbf{y} \in B_{2^{-k+1}}(\mathbf{x})$ , hence  $T^l \mathbf{y} \notin X$ . We have got a contradiction. Thus,  $\mathbf{x}_{[-k,k]} \notin \mathcal{B}(X)$ . □

**(S1.8)** Determine whether the following sets are shift spaces or not:

- (i)  $X$  is the set of all binary sequences with no two 1's next to each other.
- (ii)  $X$  is the set of all binary sequences so that between any two 1's there are an even number of 0's.
- (iii)  $X$  is the set of points each of which contains exactly one symbol 1 and the rest 0's.

*Proof.* (i)  $X$  is a shift space:  $X = X_{\mathcal{F}}$  with  $\mathcal{F} = \{11\}$ .

(ii) Take  $\mathcal{F} = \{10^{2n+1}1 \mid n \geq 0\}$ . Then  $X = X_{\mathcal{F}}$ , hence  $X$  is a shift space.

(iii)  $X$  is not a shift space. We have that  $0^\infty \notin X$ , while any block of 0's occurs in  $X$ , so (ii) from the above exercise is contradicted. □