FMI, CS, Master I Techniques of Combinatorial Optimization Laurențiu Leuștean

## Seminar 3

(S3.1) Let G be the complete graph  $K_3$  on three vertices. Prove that its incidence matrix is not totally unimodular.

*Proof.* Let  $V(K_3) = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $E(K_3) = \{ij \mid 1 \le i < j \le 3\} = \{12, 13, 23\}$ . Then its incidence matrix A has 3 rows corresponding to the vertices 1, 2, 3 and 3 columns, corresponding to the edges 12, 13, 23. Thus,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get that det(A) = -2.

(S3.2) Let A be the incidence matrix of a cycle of length 5. Prove that A is a square matrix and compute its determinant.

*Proof.* Let  $C_5 = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be the cycle of length 5, with vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  and edges  $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_0$ . Then its incidence matrix is

$$A = \begin{array}{ccccccc} v_0 v_1 & v_1 v_2 & v_2 v_3 & v_3 v_4 & v_4 v_0 \\ v_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

One can easily see that det(A) = 2.

1

(S3.3) Verify if the following matrices are totally unimodular:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*Proof.* A is the incidence matrix of the bipartite graph  $G = (X \cup Y, E)$ , where

$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad Y = \{4, 5, 6\}, E = \{14, 15, 25, 26, 36, 34\}$$

Thus, A is totally unimodular, by Theorem 2.1.3.

B is the incidence matrix of the graph G = (V, E), where

$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \quad E = \{15, 16, 25, 27, 36, 37, 47, 75\}.$$

We remark that G contains the cycle C = 2572 of length 3. By Proposition 2.1.2, we get that G is not a bipartite graph. Apply Theorem 2.1.3 to conclude that A is not totally unimodular.

(S3.4) Let G be a graph. Prove that

 $\max\{|M| \mid M \text{ is a matching of } G\} \le \min\{|S| \mid S \text{ is a vertex cover of } G\}.$ 

Show that the complete graph  $K_3$  is an example of a graph where strict inequality holds.

*Proof.* Let  $M = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be an arbitrary matching and S be an arbitrary vertex cover of G. Then for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ,  $e_i$  intersects S in some  $v_i \in V$ . Since the edges in Mare disjoint, it follows that for different i's we get different  $v_i$ 's. Thus  $|S| \ge n = |M|$ . The conclusion follows.

We have that  $K_3 = (\{1, 2, 3\}, \{12, 23, 31\}$ . Then

$$\max\{|M| \mid M \text{ is a matching of } G\} = 1$$
, while  $\min\{|S| \mid S \text{ is a vertex cover of } G\} = 2$ .

**(S3.5)** Let G = (V, E) be a bipartite graph and  $w : E \to \mathbb{N}$  be a weight function. The maximum weight of a matching in G is equal to the minimum value of  $\sum_{v \in V} y_v$ , where y ranges over all functions  $y : V \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y_u + y_v \ge w(e)$  for each edge e = uv of G.

*Proof.* We have that

$$\max\{w(M) \mid M \text{ matching in } G\} = \max\{w^T x \mid x \ge \mathbf{0}, Ax \le \mathbf{1}\}$$
$$= \min\{y^T \mathbf{1} \mid y \ge \mathbf{0}, y^T A \ge w^T\} \text{ (by Proposition 2.2.2)}$$
$$= \min\{y^T \mathbf{1} \mid y \ge \mathbf{0}, y^T A \ge w^T, y \in \mathbb{Z}^V\},$$

as a consequence of Proposition 1.8.5. Since  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^V$  and  $y \ge \mathbf{0}$ , we get that  $y \in \mathbb{N}^V$ , hence  $y : V \to \mathbb{N}$ . Remark that  $y^T \mathbf{1} = \sum_{v \in V} y_v$  and that for every edge e = uv of G,  $(y^T A)_e = y_u + y_v$ . Thus,  $y^T A \ge w^T$  if and only if  $y_u + y_v \ge w(e)$  for each edge e = uv of G.